

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,868	08/02/2001	Yen-Ting Lu	4425-168	4176
75	590 06/27/2003			
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road			EXAMINER	
			YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER G	
Alexandria, VA	22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

l N

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/919,868

Applicant(s)

Lu

Examiner

Chris Young

Art Unit 1756

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence address		
	for Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In				
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	of date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will l and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U	pe considered timely. ing date of this communication. S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 23, 2	2003	·		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/ar	e pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square object	ed to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)💢	$\overline{\mathbb{X}}$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>May 23, 2003</u> is: a) $\overline{\mathbb{X}}$ approved b) \Box disapproved by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)∐_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(d) or (f).		
a) ∟	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n this National Stage		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		9(e).		
a) [7				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm	ent(s)				
1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape	r No(s)		
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	n (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲 lm	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Cther:			

Serial No. 09/919,868

Art Unit 1756

- 1. This Office action is responsive to the amendment (Paper No. 3) filed May 23, 2003. Included with this amendment, was a substitute specification and amendments to the drawings. The proposed drawing corrections are approved by the Examiner. However, new formal drawings need to be submitted before issuance of a patent.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejections over Appelt et al. are withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments to the claims and comments provided in the Remarks section of the amendment.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of Yedur et al. or Pierrat.

The discussion in the remarks of the amendment explaining why the scope of the protection sought is patentable over the applied prior art of record has been carefully considered but is not deemed to be persuasive for the reasons of record as set forth in paragraph 5 of the last Office action in combination with the following remarks.

The Examiner has carefully considered all remarks presented with the amendment that pertain to the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection of <u>prima facie</u> obviousness over Yedur et al. or Pierrat. However, these arguments are not persuasive in

Serial No. 09/919,868
Art Unit 1756

overcoming the rejections of record. Primarily, the arguments are drawn to features that are not claimed in the instant application and as such do not provide weight in overcoming the rejection of record. The broad scope of the protection sought is drawn to a method for reducing line edge roughness of a patterned photoresist, comprising, providing a patterned photoresist, the pattern having at least a trench and filling the trench, the trench being totally filled by an additional material being effective attached to said patterned photoresist. Each of the references relied upon by the Examiner clearly shows the broad scope of the protection sought as currently claimed. Specifically, see Figures 8-13 in Pierrat and Figures 7 and 8 in Yedur et al.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED

Serial No. 09/919,868

Art Unit 1756

STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Young, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2984. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached on (703) 308-2464. A Fax communication that is for a non-final fax should be sent to (703) 872-9310. An after final fax should be sent to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Christopher 4. Young Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

C. Young:cdc June 24, 2003